

1
2
3
4
5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
6 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
7 AT SEATTLE

8 MEREDITH MCGLOWN,

9 Plaintiff,

10 v.

11 COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL
SECURITY,

12 Defendant.

CASE NO. 2:23-mc-00068-JNW

DISMISSAL ORDER

13
14 The Court raises this matter on its own accord. In pro se Plaintiff Meredith
15 McGlown's Proposed Complaint, she asserts that the Social Security Administration
16 denied her claim for Supplemental Security Income. Dkt. No. 2. Under the Court's
17 vexatious litigant order, the Court must screen any complaint that McGlown files in
18 this District before a summons will issue. Dkt. No. 1. In June 2024, the Court
19 screened McGlown's proposed complaint and found that she failed to attach a copy
20 of the Commissioner of Social Security's final decision or the notice that she
21 received that the Social Security Administration denied her appeal. Dkt. No. 3. The
22 Court ordered McGlown to "supplement her complaint by filing a copy of the
23 Commissioner's final decision and the notice she received that her appeal was

1 denied by the Social Security Administration.” *Id.* The Court warned that “[f]ailure
2 to file these requested documents within 14 days of the date of this order will result
3 in dismissal of her complaint.” *Id.*

4 McGlown responded to the Court’s Order on July 1, 2024. Dkt. No. 4. Her
5 response failed to clarify or supplement her claim and did not attach the required
6 documents. McGlown states that the Social Security Administration failed to
7 provide her with an obligatory “disbursement in the amount of 1 Trillion.” *Id.* at 2.
8 She provides no support for this farfetched claim. And the rest of her response is
9 implausible, illegible, and incoherent. As warned, McGlown’s failure to comply with
10 the Court’s Order is grounds for dismissal.

11 Section 1915 also provides grounds to dismiss this lawsuit. McGlown seeks to
12 proceed in forma pauperis (IFP). Dkt. No. 2-1. When a plaintiff proceeds IFP, Section
13 1915 requires the Court to dismiss the action if the Court determines it is frivolous or
14 malicious, fails to state a claim on which relief may be granted, or seeks monetary relief
15 against a defendant who is immune. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B). To survive Section 1915
16 review, a complaint must meet the pleading requirements set forth in Rule 8 of the
17 Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. While Rule 8 does not demand detailed factual
18 allegations, “it demands more than an unadorned, the defendant-unlawfully-harmed-
19 me accusation.” *Ashcroft v. Iqbal*, 556 U.S. 662, 678 (2009). A complaint “must contain
20 sufficient factual matter, accepted as true, to state a claim to relief that is plausible on
21 its face.” *Id.* (quoting *Bell Atl. Corp. v. Twombly*, 550 U.S. 544, 570 (2007)).

22 Here, McGlown states no plausible claim for relief. Her nearly-200-page
23 proposed complaint is mostly impossible to follow, and she failed to follow the Court’s

1 directions as to how to pursue an appeal from a decision of the Commissioner of Social
2 Security. Her Vexatious Litigant Bar Order authorizes the Court to “dismiss any future
3 *pro se* complaints and motions for *in forma pauperis* upon a finding that the complaint
4 suffers from the same or similar defects” reflected in her previously dismissed lawsuits.
5 Dkt. No. 1. At this point, the Court finds no reason to grant McGlown leave to amend,
6 to supplement, or to show cause.

7 In short, the Court finds that McGlown’s proposed complaint is frivolous and
8 fails to state a claim on which relief may be granted. This is the sort of pleading that
9 led to McGlown’s Bar Order. Her claims are thus DISMISSED without prejudice and
10 without leave to amend. This Order terminating this proposed action is final and
11 appealable. However, the Court DIRECTS the Clerk that no Judgment shall be entered
12 in this docket because any future proposed claims will be opened in this case, subject to
13 McGlown’s Bar Order. The “narrowly tailored pre-filing restrictions” set forth in
14 McGlown’s Bar Order, *see* Dkt. No. 1 at 4-5, remain in effect.

15 It is so ORDERED.

16 Dated this 17th day of January, 2025.

17 

18 Jamal N. Whitehead
19 United States District Judge
20
21
22
23